

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.oxpto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
09/894,904		06/29/2001	Steven C. Monroe	06978.0105-00000 4655		
23838	7590	11/03/2004		EXAMINER		
KENYON			CHEN, TE Y			
1500 K STR WASHINGT		V., SUITE 700 20005		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2161		
WASHING	1011, DC	20003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				X
	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	- <i>V</i>
	09/894,90)4	MONROE, STEVEN C.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
•	Susan Y C	Chen	2161	
The MAILING DATE of this communication of the co	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eventual interest in the state statutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the apply apply and will will, by statute.	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron lication to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 02 June 2004.			
·	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition	•,		osecution as to the merits i	is
closed in accordance with the pract				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-50</u> is/are pending in the	e application.	•		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a		nsideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-50</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election r	equirement.	•	
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) t	oe held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin	g the correction is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is ol	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected t	to by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	ı for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				•
1. Certified copies of the priority				
2. Certified copies of the priority	•	• •		
3. Copies of the certified copies	• •		ed in this National Stage	
application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	*	7 77	ad	
See the attached detailed Office activ	on to a list of the certi	ned copies not receiv	eu.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Paper No(s)/Mail [Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Paper No(s)/Mail Date	r PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2161

Response to Amendment

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/02/2004 has been entered.

This office action is in response to amendment filed on 06/02/2004.

Claims 21-50 are pending for examination, claims 21, 31 and 41 have been amended, claims 1-20 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2161

Claims 21-50, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,769,031 issued to Bero (hereinafter referred as Bero '031).

Claim 21:

Bero '031 discloses:

A whois database [e.g., Col. 7, line 49 – col. 8, line 17; Fig(s). 3A-11 and associated texts], comprising:

extracting a plurality of unique identifiers from an audit file, each unique identifier corresponding to a modified domain name record within a registrar database [e.g. col. 20, lines 43-61; Fig(s). 8-9 and associated texts]; and for each unique identifier:

determining whether a first domain name record that corresponds to the unique identifier exists within the registrar database, if the first domain name record exists, retrieving the first domain name record from the registrar database [e.g., Steps: 905, 910, 915, Fig. 9];

determining whether a second domain name record that corresponds to the unique identifier exists within the who is database, if the second domain name record exists, retrieving the second domain name record from the whois database [e,g, steps: 920, 925, Fig. 9];

comparing the first domain name record to the second domain name record [e.g., steps: 950, 960, 970, Fig. 9]; and

Art Unit: 2161

updating the second domain name record, within the whois database, based on the first domain name record [e.g., steps: 925, 930, 980, Fig. 9; step, 855, Fig. 8].

Claim 22:

Bero '031 further discloses:

The cited feature "deleting a second domain name record if the first corresponding domain name record does not exist". [e.g., the step 980, Fig. 9].

Claim 23:

Bero '031 further discloses:

The cited feature "adding a first domain name record to the whois database if the second corresponding domain name record does not exist". [e.g., col. 9, lines 2-25].

Claim 24:

The cited feature – discarding duplicate unique identifiers from the plurality of unique identifiers -- is the nature property of unique identifier.

Claim 25:

The cited features – modified domain name record consists of an added domain name record, a deleted domain name record and changed domain name record – are inherent for any modification processing performed on a domain name data item.

Art Unit: 2161

Claim 26:

Bero '031 further discloses:

The cited features - using an indicator to indicate the type of add, delete and change processing corresponding to a unique identifier of an audit file. [e.g., the updated type indicator 365, Fig. 3B].

Claim 27:

Bero '031 further discloses:

The audit file includes modified domain name information associated with each unique identifier [e.g. Fig. 3B and associated texts].

Claim 28:

Bero '031 further discloses:

the plurality of unique identifiers are associated with a time period [e.g., claim 17].

Claim 29:

Bero '031 further discloses:

tagging the audit file to identify previously extracted unique identifiers [e.g. col. 2, lines 7-25].

Art Unit: 2161

Claim 30:

Bero '031 further discloses:

The whois database is a copy of registrar database [e.g. col. 11, lines 5-16].

Page 6

As to claims 31-50, these claims recite the same features as claims 21-30 in form of computer system and computer-readable program product, hence are rejected for the same reason.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-50 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

To expedite the process of re-examination, the examiner requests that all future correspondences in regard to overcoming prior art rejections or other issues (e.g. 35 U.S.C. 112) set forth by the Examiner prior to the office action, that applicant should provide and link to the most specific page and line numbers of the disclosure where best support is found (see 35 U.S.C. 132).

Art Unit: 2161

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Gardos et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,745,248) which discloses a system for analyzing domain name registrations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Y Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-4016. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 571-272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan Y Chen Examiner Art Unit 2161

October 27, 2004

UYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER